UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,175	03/31/2004	Minoru Kawahara	SON-2968	4461
	7590 03/16/200 IAN & GRAUER PL L	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDI	NG	GUPTA, PARUL H		
WASHINGTON	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N, DC 20036	<i>)</i> 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/813,175	KAWAHARA, MINORU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PARUL GUPTA	2627		

	PARUL GUPTA	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		70.00					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below of appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that Sako does not qualify as prior art. CREATE Act, applies ONLY to subject matter which qua relied upon in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 (MPEP 21 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (The examiner disagrees. 35 U.S.C lifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 1 46). As Sako also qualifies under	5. 103 (c), as amended 102(e), (f), and (g), and	by the I which is being				
13. Other:	, (-),						
/Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627	/Parul Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627						